# **Reinforcement Learning**

Chen-Yu Wei

# **Overview on what we have talked about**

- Search
	- Single-agent search
	- Multi-agent search
	- Constraint satisfaction
	- Logic
- Probabilistic Modeling
	- Bayesian network
	- (Hidden) Markov models
- Machine Learning
	- Learning from data

Finding a series of decisions or a solution in a large state space (Modeling the relation between variables **deterministically**)

- Modeling the relation between variables **probabilistically**
- Learning the relation between variables from data

# **Markov Decision Processes and Reinforcement Learning**

- Search
	- Single-agent search
	- Multi-agent search
	- Constraint satisfaction
	- Logic
- Probabilistic Modeling
	- Bayesian network
	- (Hidden) Markov models
- Machine Learning
	- Learning the model from data

Probabilistic model for search problems (Markov decision processes)

> Searching while learning the model (Reinforcement Learning)

# **Reinforcement Learning (RL) vs. other ML methods**

• How is RL different from the ML methods we have seem so far?





Transformer (self-attention computations)

thanks

and

thanks

 $\cdots$ 

 $\cdots$ 

 $\cdots$ 

...

and

# **Reinforcement Learning (RL) vs. other ML methods**

- In supervised learning or self-supervised learning, it is important that we (human) have to collect a big amount of training data (i.e., (X, Y) pairs)
	- Bounding box: human labeling
	- Texts: web crawler
- Reinforcement learning handles problems where the machine has to collect data by itself while learning

# **Reinforcement Learning**





X: View of the game Y: Action (left or right)

Instead of providing training data to the machine, we let it collect them **by itself** (through trial and error).

Instead of telling the machine which action to take, we only tell it **reward** (like in search problems).

Difference between telling action and telling reward: in the former case, the machine can just follow the action, but in the latter case, the machine still needs to try different actions.



# **Reinforcement Learning**



# **Markov Decision Process**

(Just a probabilistic model for search problems --- no "learning")

# **Example: Grid World**

- Noisy movement: actions do not always go as planned
	- 80% of the time, the action North takes the agent North (if there is no wall there)
	- 10% of the time, North takes the agent West; 10% East
	- If there is a wall in the direction the agent would have been taken, the agent stays
- The agent receives rewards each time step
	- Small "living" reward each step (can be negative)
	- Big rewards come at the end (good or bad)
- Goal: maximize sum of rewards





# **Grid World Actions**

### Deterministic Grid World **I**





# **Markov Decision Processes**

- An MDP is defined by:
	- $\bullet$  A set of states  $s \in S$
	- A set of actions  $a \in A$
	- $\bullet$  A transition function T(s, a, s')
		- Probability that a from s leads to s', i.e.,  $P(s' | s, a)$

or  $R(S, \alpha)$ 

- Also called the model or the dynamics
- A reward function  $R(s, a, s')$ 
	- Sometimes just  $R(s)$  or  $R(s')$
- A start state
- Maybe a terminal state



# **What is Markov about MDPs?**

- "Markov" generally means that given the present state, the future and the past are independent  $() \rightarrow () \rightarrow () \rightarrow$
- For Markov decision processes, "Markov" means action outcomes depend only on the current state

$$
P(S_{t+1} = s'|S_t = s_t, A_t = a_t, S_{t-1} = s_{t-1}, A_{t-1}, \dots S_0 = s_0)
$$
  
=  $P(S_{t+1} = s'|S_t = s_t, A_t = a_t)$ 

• This is just like search, where the successor function could only depend on the current state (not the history)

# **"Markov" as in Markov Chains? HMMs?**

$$
(X_0) \rightarrow (X_1) \rightarrow (X_2) \rightarrow (X_3) \rightarrow \rightarrow
$$

**Markov Model (Markov Chain)**



#### **Hidden Markov Model**



**Partially Observable Markov Decision Process**



**Markov Decision Process**

# **Policies**

- In deterministic single-agent search problems, we wanted an optimal plan, or sequence of actions, from start to a goal
- For MDPs, we want an optimal policy  $\pi^*$ : S  $\rightarrow$  A
	- A policy  $\pi$  gives an action for each state
	- An optimal policy is one that maximizes expected total return



$$
j_{ij} \sim \text{proved} = -0.
$$

# **Optimal Policies**



 $R(s) = -0.01$  R(s) = -0.03









# **Example: Racing**



# **Example: Racing**

- A robot car wants to travel far, quickly
- Three states: Cool, Warm, Overheated
- Two actions: *Slow*, *Fast*
- Going faster gets double reward



# **Example: Racing**



# **MDP Search Trees**

● MDP search tree can be viewed as an **expectimax** search tree



# **Discounting**

# **Discounting**

- Give less importance to reward / cost in the distant future
- There are several reasons to do so
	- When performing reinforcement learning (which will be covered in the next lecture), uncertainty accumulates over time, so it's less meaningful to optimize reward in the distant future
	- In many cases, we prioritize more recent reward





\$100 right now



**SELER** 

vs.

\$110 next year

# **Discounting**



- How to discount?
	- Each time we descend a level, we multiply in the discount once
- Example: discount of  $0.9 = \sqrt{ }$ 
	- $\bullet$  U([1,2,3])  $\leftarrow$  1<sup>3</sup>1  $\leftarrow$  0.9<sup>\*</sup>2  $\leftarrow$  0.81<sup>3</sup>3
	- $\bullet$  U([1,2,3]) < U([3,2,1])



# **Value Functions and Optimal Policies**

# **Recap: Defining MDPs**

- Markov decision processes:
	- Set of states S
	- Start state  $s_0$
	- Set of actions A
	- Transitions  $P(s'|s,a)$  (or  $T(s,a,s')$ )
	- Rewards R(s,a,s') (and discount  $\gamma$ )
- MDP quantities so far:
	- $\bullet$  Policy = Choice of action for each state
	- $\bullet$  Utility or Return = sum of (discounted) rewards



# **Racing Search Tree**



# **Racing Search Tree**



# **Racing Search Tree**

- Problem: States are repeated
	- Idea: Only compute needed quantities once
- Problem: Tree goes on forever
	- Idea: Perform **depth-limited** computation with increasing depths until change is small
	- Note: deep parts of the tree eventually don't matter if  $\gamma$  < 1



# **Computing Time-Limited Values**



# **Time-Limited Values**

Define  $V_k(s)$  to be the optimal value of s if the game ends in at most k more time steps



$$
V_0(s) = 0
$$
  

$$
V_k(s) = \begin{cases} \max_{a} \left( \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') (R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_{k-1}(s')) \right) & \text{if } s \text{ is not a terminal state} \\ \max_{a} \left( \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') R(s, a, s') \right) & \text{if } s \text{ is a terminal state} \end{cases}
$$

recursively for  $k \geq 1$ 

**Example**



Assume no discount  $(y = 1)$ 

# **Slightly Simplifying the Notation**

$$
V_{k}(s) = \begin{cases} \max_{a} \left( \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') (R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_{k-1}(s')) \right) & \text{if } s \text{ is not a terminal state} \\ \max_{a} \left( \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') R(s, a, s') \right) & \text{if } s \text{ is a terminal state} \end{cases}
$$

It is possible to write them as 
$$
V_k(s) = \max_{a} \left( \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') (R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_{k-1}(s')) \right)
$$
  $\forall s$ 

by creating an artificial  $s_{\text{dum}}$  state so that

 $T(s_\mathrm{ter}, a, s_\mathrm{dum}) = 1 \quad$  for any terminal state  $s_\mathrm{ter}$  and any action  $a$  $T(s_{\text{dum}}, a, s_{\text{dum}}) = 1$  for any action a  $R(s_{\text{dum}}, a, s_{\text{dum}}) = 0$  for any action a

We did not have this matter when discussing about search because there we usually assume no reward from the terminal state.



**Example** Two ways to incorporate the final reward. Let  $s_{\text{ter}}$  be a terminal state, i.e., (4,2) or (4,3)

(1) 
$$
R(s, a, s_{\text{ter}}) = +1 \text{ (or } -1) \qquad \mathcal{K}(s_{\text{ter }}, \alpha, s') = 0
$$

$$
V_{k}(s) = \begin{cases} \n\max_{a} \left( \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') (R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_{k-1}(s')) \right) & \text{if } s \text{ is not a terminal state} \\ \n\max_{a} \left( \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') R(s, a, s') \right) & \text{if } s \text{ is a terminal state} \n\end{cases}
$$

(2)  $R(s_{\text{ter}}, a, s_{\text{dum}}) = +1$  (or -1) (Needs to create a dummy state)

$$
V_k(s) = \max_{a} \left( \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') (R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_{k-1}(s')) \right) \ \forall s
$$



**VALUES AFTER O ITERATIONS** 

 $0.8$  $\sigma_{\rm B} \in$  $\rightarrow$   $\circ$   $\cdot$   $\cdot$ 



**VALUES AFTER 1 ITERATIONS** 



**VALUES AFTER 2 ITERATIONS** 









Noise =  $0.2$ Discount = 0.9 Living reward  $= 0$ 

 $V_{G}$ 















# **State Value (V Value) and State-Action Value (Q Value)**

 $V_0(s) = 0$  $V_k(s) = \max_{a} \left( \sum_{n} \right)$  $\overline{s'}$  $T(s, a, s')(R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_{k-1}(s'))$  $Q_k(s, a) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}$  $\overline{s'}$  $T(s, a, s')(R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_{k-1}(s'))$  $V_k(s) = \max_{\alpha}$  $\boldsymbol{a}$  $Q_k(s, a)$  $Q_k(s, a)$  = The optimal value from s if **taking action**  $a$  in the first step and then perform optimally in the remaining  $k - 1$  steps.

$$
\pi_{k}(s) = \arg\max_{\alpha} Q_{k}(s, \alpha)
$$

# **Q Values**



# **Convergence**

- Are  $V_k$  going to converge?
- If the discount is less than 1
	- The difference between  $V_k$  and  $V_{k+1}$  is that on the bottom layer,  $V_{k+1}$  has actual rewards while  $V_k$ has zeros
	- That one-step reward ranges in [-R, R] where  $R = max |R(s,a,s')|$
	- But everything is discounted by γ<sup>k</sup>
	- So V<sub>k</sub> and V<sub>k+1</sub> are at most γ<sup>k</sup> max|R| different
	- So as k increases, the values converge

 $|V_{K}(s)-V_{Kfl}(s)| \leq \gamma^{K_{\text{max}}}|R|$ 

 $V_k(s)$ 

 $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ 

 $\gamma$ 

 $\gamma$  $\kappa$ 

 $V_{k+1}(s)$ 

 $V_k(5) \rightarrow V(s)$ 

k⊣ I

# **Value Iteration**

# $V(s) = V_{\infty}(s)$

- Start with  $V_0(s) = 0$
- Given  $V_{k-1}(s)$ , perform the following update for all state *s* and action  $a$ :

$$
Q_k(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_{k-1}(s')]
$$
  

$$
V_k(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} Q_k(s, a)
$$

- Repeat until convergence:  $|V_{k+1}(s) V_k(s)| \leq \epsilon$  for all s
- (Near) optimal policy:  $\pi(s) = \argmax Q_k(s, a)$  $\boldsymbol{a}$
- Theorem: will converge to unique optimal values  $V_k(s) \to V^*(s)$



# **The Limits of Value Iteration**

- The state value function:
	- $\bullet$   $V^*(s)$  = expected **discounted total reward** starting from s and acting optimally
- The state-action value function:
	- $\bullet$   $Q^*(s, a)$  = expected **discounted total reward** starting by taking action a from state s and (thereafter) acting optimally

• 
$$
Q^*(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') (R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s'))
$$

- The optimal policy (that maximizes the discounted total reward)
	- $\pi^*(s)$  = optimal action from state s = argmax  $Q^*(s, a)$

# **Bellman Equation**

$$
Q^*(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') (R(s, a, s') \mathcal{f} \mathcal{Y}^*(s'))
$$
  

$$
V^*(s) = \max_{a} Q^*(s, a)
$$

As discussed previously, given  $T$  and  $R$ , one can approximate  $Q^*$  and  $V^*$  that satisfy the Bellman equation through **value iteration**.

This set of equations is an instance of **dynamic programming** (but probably slightly more advanced than what you learned in DSA because it could involve infinite depth)

# **Q-Learning**

(Machine Learning in an MDP)

# **Recall how we compute the optimal policy in MDPs**

Value Iteration

 $Q_k(s, a) \leftarrow \sum$  $\overline{s'}$  $T(s, a, s')$ [R(s, a, s') +  $\gamma V_{k-1}(s')$ ]  $\forall s, a$  $V_k(s) \leftarrow \max_{\sigma}$  $\boldsymbol{a}$  $Q_k(s, a) \quad \forall s$ For  $k = 1, 2, ...$  $V_0(s) \leftarrow 0 \quad \forall s$ If  $|V_k(s) - V_{k-1}(s)| \leq \epsilon$  for all s: Let  $\hat{Q}(s, a) = Q_k(s, a) \,\forall s, a$  break Return policy  $\hat{\pi}(s) = \argmax \hat{Q}(s, a)$  $\boldsymbol{a}$ Require knowledge about the model  $=0$  if s is terminal

What if we don't know the transition  $T$  or the reward  $R$ ?





# **Solutions when we don't know the model**

• We want to perform the update

$$
Q_k(s,a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') [R(s,a,s') + \gamma V_{k-1}(s')] \quad \forall s,a
$$

- But we don't know  $T$  and  $R$
- Fortunately, we can get a "sample" for the right-hand side
	- Suppose that we are on some state  $\hat{s}$  and we take an action  $\hat{a}$
	- The environment will generate next state  $\hat{s}'$  and reveal the reward  $\hat{R} = R(\hat{s}, \hat{a}, \hat{s}')$
	- Then we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\hat{R},\hat{S}'}\big[\hat{R} + \gamma V_{k-1}(\hat{S}')\big] = \sum_{S'} T(\hat{s},\hat{a},s') [R(\hat{s},\hat{a},s') + \gamma V_{k-1}(s')]
$$

• But we cannot simply do  $Q_k(\hat{s}, \hat{a}) \leftarrow \hat{R} + \gamma V_{k-1}(\hat{s}')$  ... why?

# **Q-Learning**

 $Q_k(s_k, a_k) \leftarrow (1 - \eta_k) Q_{k-1}(s_k, a_k) + \eta_k [R_k + \gamma V_{k-1}(s_{k+1})]$   $\eta_k \in (0,1)$ . Learning rate  $V_k(s_k) \leftarrow \max_{\alpha}$  $\overline{a}$  $Q_k(s_k, a)$ For  $k = 1, 2, ...$  $V_0(s) \leftarrow 0$ ,  $Q_0(s, a) \leftarrow 0 \quad \forall s, a$ Let  $s_1$  be the initial state. Take action  $a_k$ . Observe next state  $s_{k+1}$  and reward  $R_k = R(s_k, a_k, s_{k+1})$ . // Slightly modify the values on the visited state-action pair  $(s_k, a_k)$ : // Keep other values unchanged:  $Q_k(s, a) \leftarrow Q_{k-1}(s, a)$  and  $V_k(s) \leftarrow V_{k-1}(s)$  for  $(s, a) \neq (s_k, a_k)$ If  $s_k$  is a terminal state: Reset  $s_{k+1}$  to be the initial state. **Continue**  $=0$  if  $s_k$  is terminal

# **Q-Learning**

The update

$$
Q_k(s_k, a_k) \leftarrow (1 - \eta_k) Q_{k-1}(s_k, a_k) + \eta_k [R_k + \gamma V_{k-1}(s_{k+1})]
$$

has the effect of averaging up multiple samples of  $R_k + \gamma V_{k-1}(s_{k+1})$ 

(so mitigate the effect of randomness)

$$
Q = 0
$$
\n
$$
Q = 0
$$
\n
$$
Q = (1 - 1)Q + 2 \times 0
$$
\n
$$
Q = (1 - 1)Q + 2 \times 0
$$
\n
$$
Q = (1 - 1)Q + 2 \times 0
$$
\n
$$
Q = 1 - 1 = 0
$$

# **Deep Q-Learning**

- Instead of recording  $Q(s, a)$  for each individual s, a, use a neural network (NN) to model the mapping (NN input: s, a, NN output:  $Q(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}$ )
- Notable applications:
	- Playing Atari games:<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rFwQDDbYTm4>

# **Q-Learning Example**





**Initial state:** (3,1) **Terminal states:** (4,2) and (4,3) **Actions:** NSEW **Reward:**   $R(s, a, s') = R(s) = -0.2$  for all non-terminal s  $R(s) = -1$  if  $s = (4,2)$  $R(s) = +1$  if  $s = (4,3)$ **Transition:**  with probability 0.8: transition according to the action with probability 0.2: transition to two sides (see left figure) If wall is met, stay in the original square **Discount factor**  $\gamma = 0.95$ The learner doesn't know these!

# **Q-Learning Example**



 $s_1 = (3,1)$ 

Learner take action  $a_1 = N$ Environment sample next state  $s_2 = (3,2)$  and reveal reward -0.2 Learner update

$$
Q((3,1), N) = (1 - \eta)Q((3,1), N) + \eta[-0.2 + \gamma V((3,2))]
$$
  
= 0.9 × 0 + 0.1[-0.2 + 0.95 × 0] = -0.02

 $V((3,1)) = \max$  $\boldsymbol{a}$  $Q((3,1), a) = 0$ 

//  $Q(s, a)$ ,  $V(s)$  for other  $s, a$  remains unchanged.

Learner take action  $a_2 = S$ Environment sample next state  $s_3 = (4,2)$  and reveal reward -0.2 Learner update

$$
Q((3,2), S) = (1 - \eta)Q((3,2), S) + \eta[-0.2 + \gamma V((4,2))]
$$
  
= 0.9 × 0 + 0.1[-0.2 + 0.95 × 0] = -0.02  

$$
V((3,2)) = \max_{a} Q((3,2), a) = 0
$$

Iteration 1

Iteration 2

# **Q-Learning Example**



Learner take action  $a_3 = W$ 

Since  $s_3 = (4,2)$  is a terminal state, there is no next state. Environment reveal reward -1.

Learner update

$$
Q((4,2), W) = (1 - \eta)Q((4,2), W) + \eta[-1]
$$
  
= 0.9 × 0 + 0.1[-1] = -0.1  

$$
V((4,2)) = \max_{a} Q((4,2), a) = 0
$$

Restart at  $s_4 = (3,1)$  // but the Q, V values continue to update Learner take action  $a_4 = S$ Environment sample next state  $s_5 = (3,2)$  and reveal reward -0.2 Learner update

 $Q((3,2), S) = (1 - \eta)Q((3,2), S) + \eta[-0.2 + 0.9V((4,2))]$  $= 0.9 \times -0.02 + 0.1[-0.2 + 0.9 \times 0]$  $V((3,2)) = \max$  $\boldsymbol{a}$  $Q((3,2), a) = 0$ 

Iteration 3

Iteration 4

# **Q-Learning**

Common strategies to pick actions:

 $\bullet$   $\epsilon$ -Greedy:

$$
a_k = \begin{cases} \text{argmax } Q_{k-1}(s_k, a) & \text{with probability } 1 - \epsilon \\ \text{random} & \text{with probability } \epsilon \end{cases}
$$

• Boltzmann exploration: sample  $a_k$  from the distribution

 $\exp(Q_{k-1}(s_k,a))$  $\sum_{a'} \exp(Q_{k-1}(s_k,a'))$ 

### Idea: balancing **exploration** and **exploitation**

Randomly try some new actions Try to perform well (get high reward) using the current estimation

# **Theorem**

● If every state-action pair is visited infinitely often (which requires exploration), with properly chosen learning rate scheduling  $\eta_k$ , then  $\lim_{k\to\infty}$  $k\rightarrow\infty$  $Q_k(s, a) = Q^*(s, a) \quad \forall s, a$ 

# **Summary**

- Markov Decision Process formulates a search problem (finding a path that maximize the total reward) that has random state transition
- We can use value iteration (a dynamic programming algorithm) to find
	- State-action value function  $Q^*(s, a)$
	- State value function  $V^*(s)$

The optimal policy is then given by  $\pi^*(s) = \argmax Q^*(s, a)$ 

- $\boldsymbol{a}$ • Reinforcement Learning estimates the model (machine learning) through interacting with the MDP (search)
	- Q-learning  $\approx$  value iteration with samples and soft updates

# **Homework 6**

- Choices problems: deadline 12/8 11:59PM
- Programming problem: deadline 12/18 11:59PM
	- Value iteration and Q-learning
- No late submission

# **Next Lecture**

A review for the materials after the midterm