#### A New Algorithm for Non-stationary Contextual Bandits: Efficient, Optimal and Parameter-free

#### Yifang Chen, **Chung-Wei Lee**, Haipeng Luo, Chen-Yu Wei University of Southern California



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# One Sentence Summary

We achieve similar guarantees for the harder **contextual bandit** setting, **efficiently**.

# From MAB to Contextual Bandits

[ACFS02,LZ08]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### For t = 1, ..., T,

• The learner chooses  $a_t \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ .

• The environment reveals  $r_t(a_t)$ .

# From MAB to Contextual Bandits

# [ACFS02,LZ08]

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

For t = 1, ..., T,

- The learner sees context  $x_t$ , where  $(x_t, r_t) \sim \mathcal{D}_t$ .
- The learner chooses  $a_t \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ .

• The environment reveals  $r_t(a_t)$ .

### From MAB to Contextual Bandits

#### For t = 1, ..., T,

- The learner sees context  $x_t$ , where  $(x_t, r_t) \sim \mathcal{D}_t$ .
- The learner chooses  $a_t \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ .

• The environment reveals  $r_t(a_t)$ .

Goal: minimize dynamic regret against the best policy at each time

$$\mathsf{Reg} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \max_{\pi \in \Pi} \mathbb{E}_{(x,r) \sim \mathcal{D}_t}[r(\pi(x))] - \sum_{t=1}^{T} r_t(a_t),$$

[ACFS02,LZ08]

where  $\Pi$  is a policy class: mappings from contexts to actions.

• Sublinear dynamic regret is impossible in general.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Sublinear dynamic regret is impossible in general.

Non-stationarity is measured by

Sublinear dynamic regret is impossible in general.

Non-stationarity is measured by

• 
$$S \triangleq 1 + \sum_{t=2}^{T} 1 \{ \mathcal{D}_t \neq \mathcal{D}_{t-1} \}$$

Sublinear dynamic regret is impossible in general.

Non-stationarity is measured by

• 
$$S \triangleq 1 + \sum_{t=2}^{T} 1 \{ \mathcal{D}_t \neq \mathcal{D}_{t-1} \}$$

• or 
$$V \triangleq \sum_{t=2}^{T} \|\mathcal{D}_t - \mathcal{D}_{t-1}\|_{\mathrm{TV}}$$

- Sublinear dynamic regret is impossible in general.
- Non-stationarity is measured by

• 
$$S \triangleq 1 + \sum_{t=2}^{T} 1 \{ \mathcal{D}_t \neq \mathcal{D}_{t-1} \}$$

• or 
$$V \triangleq \sum_{t=2}^{T} \|\mathcal{D}_t - \mathcal{D}_{t-1}\|_{\mathrm{TV}}$$

• Optimal regret is ([ACFS02,BGZ14]):  $\mathcal{O}\left(\min\left\{\sqrt{ST}, V^{\frac{1}{3}}T^{\frac{2}{3}}\right\}\right)$ 

- Sublinear dynamic regret is impossible in general.
- Non-stationarity is measured by

$$\blacktriangleright S \triangleq 1 + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \mathbb{1} \{ \mathcal{D}_t \neq \mathcal{D}_{t-1} \}$$

• or 
$$V \triangleq \sum_{t=2}^{T} \|\mathcal{D}_t - \mathcal{D}_{t-1}\|_{\mathrm{TV}}$$

- Optimal regret is ([ACFS02,BGZ14]):  $\mathcal{O}\left(\min\left\{\sqrt{ST}, V^{\frac{1}{3}}T^{\frac{2}{3}}\right\}\right)$
- Our algorithm achieves this without knowing S or V efficiently.

- Sublinear dynamic regret is impossible in general.
- Non-stationarity is measured by

$$S \triangleq 1 + \sum_{t=2}^{T} 1 \{ \mathcal{D}_t \neq \mathcal{D}_{t-1} \}$$

• or 
$$V \triangleq \sum_{t=2}^{T} \|\mathcal{D}_t - \mathcal{D}_{t-1}\|_{\mathrm{TV}}$$

- Optimal regret is ([ACFS02,BGZ14]):  $\mathcal{O}\left(\min\left\{\sqrt{ST}, V^{\frac{1}{3}}T^{\frac{2}{3}}\right\}\right)$
- Our algorithm achieves this without knowing S or V efficiently.

• Prior works: [LWAL18] achieves  $\mathcal{O}\left(\min\left\{S^{\frac{1}{4}}T^{\frac{3}{4}}, V^{\frac{1}{5}}T^{\frac{4}{5}}\right\}\right)$ 

Adapting to S and V:

▶ inspired by [AGO18], but can't naively treat each policy as an arm

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Adapting to S and V:

- ▶ inspired by [AGO18], but can't naively treat each policy as an arm
- introduce the idea of replay phases: sample according to previous distributions occasionally,

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Adapting to S and V:

- ▶ inspired by [AGO18], but can't naively treat each policy as an arm
- introduce the idea of replay phases: sample according to previous distributions occasionally, in the same vein as sampling discarded arms [AGO19]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Adapting to S and V:

- ▶ inspired by [AGO18], but can't naively treat each policy as an arm
- introduce the idea of replay phases: sample according to previous distributions occasionally, in the same vein as sampling discarded arms [AGO19]

Oracle-efficiency:

▶ want to avoid poly(|Π|) time

Adapting to S and V:

- ▶ inspired by [AGO18], but can't naively treat each policy as an arm
- introduce the idea of replay phases: sample according to previous distributions occasionally, in the same vein as sampling discarded arms [AGO19]

Oracle-efficiency:

- ▶ want to avoid poly(|Π|) time
- ▶ as in prior works, assume access to ERM oracle

Adapting to S and V:

- ▶ inspired by [AGO18], but can't naively treat each policy as an arm
- introduce the idea of replay phases: sample according to previous distributions occasionally, in the same vein as sampling discarded arms [AGO19]

Oracle-efficiency:

- ▶ want to avoid poly(|Π|) time
- ► as in prior works, assume access to ERM oracle
- based on key ideas of ILOVETOCONBANDITS [AHKLLS14]

# An Overview of ILOVETOCONBANDITS (i.i.d.)

for block 
$$j = 1, 2, 3, ...$$
 do  
find a sparse distribution  $Q_j$  over  $\Pi$  using all previous data  
for time  $t = 2^{j-1} \dots 2^j - 1$  do  
| play  $Q_j$ 



# An Overview of ILOVETOCONBANDITS (i.i.d.)

for block 
$$j = 1, 2, 3, ...$$
 do  
find a sparse distribution  $Q_j$  over  $\Pi$  using all previous data  
for time  $t = 2^{j-1} \dots 2^j - 1$  do  
| play  $Q_j$ 



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

# An Overview of ILOVETOCONBANDITS (i.i.d.)

for block j = 1, 2, 3, ... do find a sparse distribution  $Q_j$  over  $\Pi$  using all previous data for time  $t = 2^{j-1} \dots 2^j - 1$  do | play  $Q_j$ 







▲ロ > ▲ 圖 > ▲ 国 > ▲ 国 > → 国 → の Q @

for block j = 1, 2, 3, ... do Find a sparse distribution  $Q_j$  over  $\Pi$  using all data since last restart for time  $t = 2^{j-1} \dots 2^j - 1$  do Randomly start a replay phase of length  $2^m$ , add it to Sif S is empty then Play  $Q_j$ ; else Sample u.a.r an "alive" replay phase from S, play  $Q_m$ ;



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

-

for block j = 1, 2, 3, ... do Find a sparse distribution  $Q_j$  over  $\Pi$  using all data since last restart for time  $t = 2^{j-1} \dots 2^j - 1$  do Randomly start a replay phase of length  $2^m$ , add it to Sif S is empty then Play  $Q_j$ ; else Sample u.a.r an "alive" replay phase from S, play  $Q_m$ ;



ヘロン 人間と ヘヨン ヘヨン

for block j = 1, 2, 3, ... do Find a sparse distribution  $Q_j$  over  $\Pi$  using all data since last restart for time  $t = 2^{j-1} \dots 2^j - 1$  do Randomly start a replay phase of length  $2^m$ , add it to Sif S is empty then Play  $Q_j$ ; else Sample u.a.r an "alive" replay phase from S, play  $Q_m$ ; if Non-stationarity tests fail then  $\mid$  Restart from scratch



# Summary

Our algorithm achieves dynamic regret  $\mathcal{O}\left(\min\left\{\sqrt{ST}, V^{\frac{1}{3}}T^{\frac{2}{3}}\right\}\right)$ 

- optimal
- oracle-efficient
- ▶ without knowing *S* and *V*.

# Poster #186

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ