# **Introduction to the Course**

Chen-Yu Wei

#### **Learning To Make Decisions from Interactions**

#### Games





10 mins training

120 mins

240 mins

×

Mnih et al., Playing Atari with Deep Reinforcement Learning, 2015

#### Algorithm Discovery (faster matrix multiplication)



Size (n, m, p)	Best method known	Best rank known	AlphaTe Modular	nsor rank Standard
(2, 2, 2)	(Strassen, 1969) <sup>2</sup>	7	7	7
(3, 3, 3)	(Laderman, 1976) <sup>15</sup>	23	23	23
(4, 4, 4)	(Strassen, 1969) <sup>2</sup> (2, 2, 2) ⊗ (2, 2, 2)	49	47	49
(5, 5, 5)	(3, 5, 5) + (2, 5, 5)	98	96	98
(2, 2, 3)	(2, 2, 2) + (2, 2, 1)	11	11	11
(2, 2, 4)	(2, 2, 2) + (2, 2, 2)	14	14	14
(2, 2, 5)	(2, 2, 2) + (2, 2, 3)	18	18	18
(2, 3, 3)	(Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971) <sup>10</sup>	<sup>6</sup> 15	15	15
(2, 3, 4)	(Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971) <sup>10</sup>	<sup>6</sup> 20	20	20
(2, 3, 5)	(Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971) <sup>10</sup>	<sup>6</sup> 25	25	25
(2, 4, 4)	(Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971) <sup>10</sup>	<sup>6</sup> 26	26	26
(2, 4, 5)	(Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971) <sup>10</sup>	<sup>6</sup> 33	33	33
(2, 5, 5)	(Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971) <sup>10</sup>	<sup>6</sup> 40	40	40
(3, 3, 4)	(Smirnov, 2013) <sup>18</sup>	29	29	29
(3, 3, 5)	(Smirnov, 2013) <sup>18</sup>	36	36	36
(3, 4, 4)	(Smirnov, 2013) <sup>18</sup>	38	38	38
(3, 4, 5)	(Smirnov, 2013) <sup>18</sup>	48	47	47
(3, 5, 5)	(Sedoglavic and Smirnov, 202	1) <sup>19</sup> 58	58	58
(4, 4, 5)	(4, 4, 2) + (4, 4, 3)	64	63	63
(4, 5, 5)	(2, 5, 5) $\otimes$ (2, 1, 1)	80	76	76

Deepmind, "Discovering faster matrix multiplication algorithms with reinforcement learning", 2022

#### **Autonomous Driving**



#### RL in simulators



#### Safe self-driving on the road

Amini et al., "VISTA 2.0: An Open, Data-driven Simulator for Multimodal Sensing and Policy Learning for Autonomous Vehicles", 2021





Rafailov et al., "Direct Preference Optimization: Your Language Model is Secretly a Reward Model", 2023

#### **Closer Look at Reinforcement Learning**

#### **Supervised Learning**



f (temperature, humidity,...) = 1000mm precipitation

Given a lot of (x, y) pairs, find an f that such that  $f(x) \approx y$ 

### **Reinforcement Learning**

• Reinforce?





• Reinforce?



### **Reinforcement Learning**

• Learning from reward feedback?



### **Reinforcement Learning**

• Learning sequential decision making?



"Dive into Deep Learning"



RL usually deals with bandit feedback

#### **Bandit Feedback**

• Needs exploration



#### **RL in Sequential Decision Making**



(Machine Learning for Scientists)



Bandit + **Delayed and Aggregated** Feedback

#### **Delayed and Aggregated Feedback**

• Need for credit assignment



### RL vs SL



SL feedback: "what to do in each step" (full-information, immediate)RL feedback: "how you're doing overall" (bandit, delayed)

### **RL Signal Can Be Very Sparse**

- "Pure" Reinforcement Learning (cherry)
  - The machine predicts a scalar reward given once in a while.
  - A few bits for some samples

#### Supervised Learning (icing)

- The machine predicts a category or a few numbers for each input
- Predicting human-supplied data
- ▶ 10→10,000 bits per sample

#### Unsupervised/Predictive Learning (cake)

- The machine predicts any part of its input for any observed part.
- Predicts future frames in videos
- Millions of bits per sample



(Yes, I know, this picture is slightly offensive to RL folks. But I'll make it up)

(Yann LeCun, 2016 NIPS)

#### The Scope of This Course

Online RL: through interactions, under bandit / delayed feedback Offline RL: through existing data, under bandit / delayed feedback Imitation Learning: through expert data, under label feedback (not in our scope)



# When Is IL (SL) Insufficient?

- The truly best policy is unknown / expert is imperfect
  - Atari game, Go
  - Faster matrix multiplication
  - $\Rightarrow$  RL can **search** for better solutions
- The expert data has limited coverage
  - Autonomous driving
  - $\Rightarrow$  RL can explore edge cases and **robustify** solutions
- RL signal may more faithfully reflect our real objective
  - RL from Human Feedback
  - $\Rightarrow$  RL can provide alignment to the real objective



# **Challenges in RL**

# Challenges in RL (1)

Generalization: a key challenge in all machine learning paradigms



(Khosravian and Amirkhani, 2022)

### Challenges in RL (2)

Exploration and exploitation tradeoff (due to bandit feedback)



## Challenges in RL (3)

**Credit assignment** (due to delayed and aggregated feedback)



Identify the contribution of each action to the outcome

# Challenges in RL (4)

**Distribution mismatch / shift** (especially in offline RL)



Lee et al., Addressing Distribution Shift in Online Reinforcement Learning with Offline Datasets

# **Other Challenges**

• Reward design

. . .

- Safety and ethics
- Robustness under attacks

# **Course Content**

# **Course Content**

(Focusing on exploration-exploitation tradeoff)

#### Part I. Learning in Bandits

- Multi-armed bandits
- Linear bandits
- Contextual bandits
- Adversarial multi-armed bandits
- Adversarial linear bandits

#### Part II. Basics of MDPs

- Bellman (optimality) equations
- Value iteration
- Policy iteration

(Focusing on credit assignment and distribution mismatch)

#### Part III. Learning in MDPs

- Approximate value iteration and variants
  - Least-square value iteration
  - Q-Learning
  - DQN
- Policy evaluation
  - Temporal difference
  - Monte Carlo
- Approximate policy iteration and variants
  - Least-square policy iteration
  - (Natural) policy gradient and actor-critic
  - REINFORCE, A2C, PPO, SAC
  - DDPG

#### Part IV. Offline RL Student Project Presentation

# **Students' Prior Knowledge**







VI & PI



**Target Network** 

Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO)

10



**Mirror Descent** 





#### **Contextual Bandit**



#### **Thompson Sampling**

 $\epsilon$ -greedy



MDP

Q-Learning Policy Gradient 23 responses

Q-Learning 23 responses



**Policy Gradient** 







SAC



#### Linear Regression

tropy an responses	d KL Divergenc	e		
8				
6	7 (30,4%)		7 (30.4%)	
		5 (21.7%)		
4				4 (17,4%)
2				
0				
	1	2	3	4

Entropy & KL Divergence

Conce 23 respo	ntration Inequalities			
20				
15	17 (73,9%)			
10				
5		5 (21.7%)	0 (2%)	1 (4,3%)
0	1	2	3	4

#### **Concentration Inequality**

Actor Critic

### What Students Want to Learn

- Multi-armed Bandit x1
- Contextual Bandit x1
- Q-learning x1
- Actor Critic x1
- Offline RL x1
- Hands-on programming x4
- RL theory x2
- AlphaGo x3
- RL in ChatGPT x1
- Imitation Learning x2
- Multi-agent RL x3
- RL for continuous robot learning x1

### What Students Want to Learn

- Multi-armed Bandit x1
- Contextual Bandit x1
- Q-learning x1
- Actor Critic x1
- Offline RL x1
- Hands-on programming x4
- RL theory x2
- AlphaGo x3
- RL in ChatGPT x1
- Imitation Learning x2
- Multi-agent RL x3
- RL for continuous robot learning x1

### **Goal of This Course**

We will

- Provide a systematic overview of basic techniques in RL
- Provide **reasonings** for the design of RL algorithms
- Provide mathematical tools to analyze RL algorithms

After taking this course, you should be able to

- Feel grounded when reading other RL materials
- Implement basic RL algorithms
- Know **design principles** of RL algorithms

# Prerequisites

- Linear Algebra, Probability, Calculus
- (Optional but helpful) Machine Learning, Convex Optimization
- Python

#### Before enrolling in this course, note that...

- This is a new course, so there is a lot of uncertainty. We are planning to make RL a regular course, so you can also take it in future semesters.
- We'll go slightly deeper into the theoretical analysis of some topics.
  - May be more than you need
  - Sacrificing some breadth (imitation learning, some practical tricks are omitted)
- This course is neither necessary nor sufficient to learn RL
  - Not sufficient: the scope of this course is limited
  - Not necessary: The math may be more than you need
  - **Could be beneficial**: if you want a systematic view or unified understanding for various RL algorithms

# **Online Resources**

- Youtube courses
  - UC Berkeley CS285
  - <u>DeepMind x UCL RL Lectures</u>
- Theoretical course materials
  - Csaba Szepesvari
  - Nan Jiang, Wen Sun, Chi Jin
  - Dylan Foster & Sasha Rakhlin
  - <u>Haipeng Luo</u> (bandit)
- Books
  - Sutton & Barto, Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction
  - Agarwal et al., <u>Reinforcement Learning: Theory and Algorithms</u>
  - Lattimore & Szepesvari, <u>Bandit Algorithms</u> (bandit)
- Implementations
  - OpenAl SpinningUp
  - OpenAl StableBaseline3
  - <u>ShangtongZhang</u>

# **Assignments (60%)**

- Four assignments. Each consists of
  - Math / algorithm design problems
  - Programming tasks (using PyTorch)
  - PyTorch tutorial: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c36IUUr864M</u>
- Assignment late policy
  - 5 late days distributed as you like
  - Each additional late day results in 20% deduction in the corresponding assignment
- The rules about discussion with classmates or LLM will be clarified in HW1

# Final Project (35%)

- Breakdown
  - Proposal (5%)
  - Mid-term report (5%)
  - Presentation (10%)
  - Final report (15%)
- Types of projects (basically any!)
  - Application
  - Algorithm design
  - Systematic comparison
  - Theoretical understanding
  - Literature survey

(see the specification on the website for more information)

- 2-3 students in a group
- Proposal deadline: **Feb.16** (feel free to schedule meeting with me before finalize it)

## **Class Participation (5%)**

• In-class and Piazza discussions

# **TA & Office Hour**

- TA: Haolin Liu
  - Email: srs8rh@virginia.edu
  - Office hour: M 11:00-12:00
- Me
  - Email: <u>chenyu.wei@virginia.edu</u>
  - Office hour: Th 15:30-16:30pm at Rice 409, or by appointment

#### **Questions?**